



Blue Pencil

Crossing the editor–author borderlands

Amanda Curtin is a writer and book editor, who lives in Perth, Western Australia. Her first novel, The Sinkings, was published in 2008 to critical acclaim. Amanda has been granted writing residencies in Australia, Scotland, Ireland and the USA. She also lectures in writing and editing at Edith Cowan University, and presents workshops for authors. This is a transcript of her presentation at the 2013 IPEd Conference in Perth.

During the last few years I have inhabited a space that could be called the borderlands—a place where I sometimes wear two hats at the same time.

I am talking about the roles of editor and author. I have been a freelance book editor for more than 25 years and an author for considerably less time than that; my first book was published in 2008 and the third this year.

I am going to describe what the editing process feels like from the author's perspective. And to tease out whether there are things editors can do—things we are not already doing—to enhance this process, make it easier or more effective, or perhaps more reassuring for authors.

To that end, I have enlisted the assistance of writing friends in Australia and overseas—for the latter, taking advantage of international residencies undertaken in 2011 and 2012. All but one of the generous respondents to

my brief, informal survey are fiction writers (although some also publish in other genres) and the other is a poet. The list is available upon request by emailing bluepencil@editorsnsw.com. I hope that you will look them up, they are exceptional writers.

Let me begin by revealing an extraordinary fact about writers. Writers have a sixth sense. Writers hear your voice when you are not saying anything.

I know this to be true of myself, but it was not until I overheard a group of writers talking at a festival that I realised it was not just me. One of the writers—well-established, award-winning—said, 'I handed in my manuscript on the due date and haven't heard anything since. The editor obviously hates it and can't bring herself to tell me.'

I said writers hear your voice; I did not say they hear the truth.

Since then I have heard writers express many versions of the same, and if we analyse a couple of comments from my respondents, we can find evidence of it.

Meg McKinley says:

For many of us, I think that space in which we wait for the editorial letter to arrive is one of deep uncertainty, in which much of our previous confidence in the work is abandoned. It's always helpful to be reminded that we are not hopeless and our manuscripts do have promise and that is in fact why they are in the happy position of being edited, as ridiculously self-evident as that may seem.

The voice Meg hears—the editor's voice—is saying, 'Meg is hopeless. Meg's manuscript has absolutely no promise. I can't imagine why we've contracted it'.

continued on page 2

Inside

Crossing the editor–author borderlands	1
Professional development	5
The editors job market	5
Freelancers' lunch	6
2013 committee	7

Next meeting: Tuesday, 1 October 2013

Q&A session with Lou Johnson, joint vice president of the Australian Publishers Association

Join the society of editors in an informal question and answer session about the role of the Australian Publishers Association—what it stands for, what its aims are and how it is coping with the changing landscape of books and publishing.

Sydney Mechanics' School of Arts, 280 Pitt Street, Sydney at 6.30 pm for 7.00 p.m. Drinks and light refreshments provided. \$20 for members, \$25 non-members and \$15 for students or concession card holders. RSVP (02) 9294 4999 (voicemail) or membership@editorsnsw.com by Thursday 26 September.

And this from Robyn Mundy, describing the editing of her first novel:

No one actually spelled out whether it was okay for me to reject editing suggestions. There were a couple of suggestions that I felt did not serve the novel. I feared that if I didn't act upon the editor's advice, the publisher might change her mind about proceeding with my novel.

There is that voice again: 'Robyn

'Authors are a great deal more vulnerable than you can ever imagine.'

had better smarten up and agree to that suggestion in chapter 6 or I'll bury her novel in the chamber of non-starters'.

I relate, as a writer, to the anxieties underlying these comments, and I have observed them in the authors I have worked with as an editor. There are two serious points to make here. The first is that authors are a great deal less confident, more vulnerable, than you can ever imagine, and wherever there is a vacuum, most authors will fill it with a negative message.

Robyn Mundy described that vulnerability well when she wrote:

Here you are, with your hard-earned creative output that's as precious as a newborn, being told, albeit in the most diplomatic and encouraging terms, that your baby is not quite perfect, that he needs reshaping, reworking, re-creating—that even the name you've so carefully chosen is now under scrutiny.

Who wouldn't feel a little wounded?

In spite of the ultimate rewards, the process is not, as Cate Kennedy observes, a fundamentally reassuring one for writers.

Reminder: Never underestimate the author's vulnerability. Neutral silence will usually be interpreted negatively.

The second point is about communication. It is easy, and understandable when you are constantly busy, to forget that a new author does not necessarily know what is expected of them; to assume that a successful author knows their manuscript is original and exciting and does not need to be told this; to neglect dashing off a quick email to let an author know you have begun work on their manuscript because they should already be able to see this on their copy of the schedule.

I have always felt that 'learning' your author is essential to the relationship

and to the success of the whole editing process—finding out what they do and do not know about the process, what their comfort zone is with things like Track Changes, whether they are familiar with the publisher's house style, whether they are likely to speak up when they should (or possibly just speak up incessantly—it is good to know that, too). And with many, if not most authors, a lot of anxiety can be defused by a quick email to stay connected—something as simple as 'everything's going to schedule and I'll be in touch by Friday week'.

Reminders: 'Learn' your author by asking questions. Err on the side of generosity when it comes to keeping authors informed of what is happening with their manuscript.

The authors I surveyed recognise good editorial skills as crucial in bringing a book to its full potential. David Whish-Wilson observed:

Often this seems to involve asking questions of a narrative from the position of an 'ideal reader'; at other times, answering questions the writer has about the work but lacks appropriate answers. This insight is the thing that I most value in the writer-editor relationship, a kind of clarity of vision and steely intelligence and determination and belief that draws out into the light what might otherwise remain hidden.

Meg McKinlay also spoke of that ability of editors to uncover the hidden, to zero in on 'themes or ideas that may be submerged in a manuscript...waiting for a canny editor to come along and tease them out, to guide me towards them'. And she values the way in which a good editor approaches the manuscript on its own terms. They see what the work is trying to be/do, and help guide it towards becoming the best version of that, rather than steering it towards being any kind of version of something else.

Alan Carter privileged the editor's role in assessing the 'bigger picture stuff':

Knowing whether the overall plot structure is working, whether characters are interesting and believable, whether there is or isn't logic to how they act/think/talk.

Others spoke of the smaller, but no less important, things that all authors miss when they are too close to a work to see what is there. Cate Kennedy said:

Once I wrote something like 'It was the week before Christmas and she was at home watching the tennis on TV',

and my editor wrote: 'The tennis is not televised until January'. Excellent! Picking up on overuse of certain words or even repeated images is another great feature of a good editor.

Liz Byrski, similarly, speaks of her copyeditor's forensic eye for timelines, which is a lifesaver for me. However many charts I make of the characters' ages at certain times in relation to the other characters, to their life events or major social or political events, I always mess it up.

Now, when I am wearing my editor's hat, I have a reputation when it comes to the matter of timelines; I have to confess that one author refers to me as 'the chronology nazi'. In fact, the need to construct a chronology, maintain it, and hand it over to your editor is one of the things I have been trying to impress on authors for years. It is one of the little soapbox speeches I give when I am inhabiting the borderlands.

Bart Moeyaert, who has an impressive writing career spanning nearly thirty years, with only one change of publisher in that time, spoke of a relationship with his editor that will sound unusual—and utopian—to most of us. He compared his former editor (since retired) at prestigious Dutch press Querido to his doctor:

He is the man who knows a great deal about me. He knows my history, he knows my sorrows, anxieties, personality, qualities and shortcomings. He knows the best way to handle me (and I know he knows)...He was a gift. He sent me articles that could interest me, prodded me if he thought it was necessary, arranged meetings/dinners/parties to give me the chance to meet authors/actors/artists, and once in a while we would quarrel, as in every good marriage.

But Bart recognised that this relationship was 'old school' and 'belonged to the past', and that the place of marketing and sales departments in publishing houses is more important now than before.

'Do not assume the author wants specific suggestions about how to 'fix' an identified problem'

I was interested to see differences among authors emerging when it came to how far editors should go in making suggestions once having identified an issue with structure, logic, emotional connection,

continued on page 3

psychological credibility, and so on.

Cate Kennedy prefers it when the editor does not try to 'rewrite' the passage or add anything of their own, but rather acts as a kind of ideal reader, willing to give their honest reaction as they read, leaving the rewriting/recasting/rejigging up to the writer:

It's really helpful when an editor writes something as simple as 'Why does he say this now?' or 'This reaction feels over the top', making me push for better expression or more clarification.

Similarly, Meg McKinlay appreciates editors who are able to identify issues while resisting the urge to offer their own 'fixes'.

If an editor does offer suggestions, I prefer these to be open-ended, leaving room for me to step into the creative process. Specific suggestions or interventions tend to shut that down. For example, I'm very happy for an editor to say, 'I feel that this scene needs more tension', but I don't want to hear, 'Perhaps he grabs her and she has to struggle to escape?'

In contrast, F. G. Haghenbeck loves it, he says, when editors 'really edit'—'when the editor is involved in the construction of the story, making proposals, changes, even big changes, to make the story the best it can be'. He even advocates bringing in the editor while the book is being written, though he concedes that both parties need '100% trust' for that. David Whish-Wilson said:

What I most appreciate, having reached

the end of my own vision for a narrative, is the application of an editor's insight into potential avenues for further exploration. This could be in regard to extending a particular character's influence in a story, or something as macro as structure.

Liz Byrski referred to her editor being 'very creative in her suggestions about the way things might be done':

If she wants me to know something isn't working she always explains why she thinks that, and she suggests ways it might be dealt with. I don't always make changes in the way she suggests but her suggestions always help me to work out how I do want to handle it.

Denise Deegan described how two major plot suggestions from her editor turned around a manuscript she was struggling with and had lost enthusiasm for:

I thought the ideas were interesting. I said I'd think about it. Driving home, though, my mind started to fire. As soon as I got in, I started writing. My editor's ideas sparked off so much, triggering an avalanche of ideas, inspiration but, most importantly, enthusiasm. My writing took off.

Ted Thompson tells of having had a fantasy 'that I'd have a creative collaborator, someone who could crawl inside my book and fix it with me', but then found it 'surprising and refreshing' when she was, rather, 'a sort of acupuncturist—pointing out tiny lapses in logic or ties in the writing that go on

to have large implications for the book'.

Chigozie Obioma, whose first published story found its home in a prestigious US journal, described the experience of having his 8,000-word manuscript cut down to 5,000 words. His editor did not tell me, at any time, that a certain thing was not working. He did not ask if he should remove a scene or not. He dove in, did what he thought was best and asked for my approval. In the end, I had very little to add. Because he'd used my words, metaphors and phrases throughout, it was difficult to disapprove of anything in the text he sent. The process was bliss.

Chigozie Obioma concluded: 'I want my work to be loved through and through. I believe that if it is loved so, the editor will push it to the best possible place'.

In outlining his preferred process for receiving editorial suggestions, Bart Moeyaert drew a distinction between language-based issues and structural issues. He explained that a Belgian writer writing in Dutch, where some words/expressions are more common in Flanders than they are in The Netherlands, and vice versa, often must choose which of these reading audiences his language will privilege. Bart will discuss such issues raised, and suggestions offered, by his editor, and notes that the beauty of the language is usually the deciding factor for him. However, with structural issues, involving the rhythm and musicality—the voice—of a novel, all of which have evolved organically, Bart prefers his editor to explain these, and offer

continued on page 4

Tiered membership 2013

Categories

This membership year (2013) the Society of Editors (NSW) Inc. will offer members the option of two categories:

1. Existing and new members can become a professional editor member, with full entitlements, for the annual fee of \$85, provided you have two years experience in a paid editing role and can supply two letters confirming your experience; or
2. Existing and new members can become an associate member for the annual fee of \$65 with reduced entitlements (an associate member cannot vote at an election, cannot become an office bearer and cannot be listed in the *Editorial Services Directory*).

Experience

Professional editor members must have at least two years in-house experience as an editor or the equivalent freelance or part-time experience. For example, if you worked half-time as an editor for four years (part-time or freelance) then that would be an acceptable equivalent to two years full-time work as an in-house editor. Professional experience must be in a paid editing role. As professional members may have had career breaks, there is no limit on how long ago the professional editing experience was obtained. Professional editor members will be asked to provide details of their experience and two letters (in English) that can be checked by a subcommittee appointed for this purpose. The subcommittee will simply confirm the statements supplied by the third parties. The letters can just be a statement of the years of experience in an editorial role. See the essential *Professional Editor Membership form* for more details about requirements.

Corporate associates

Publishing companies and other businesses and organisations that support the Society of Editors (NSW) Inc.'s aims can become Corporate Associates. For an annual fee of \$400, corporate associates of the society will receive *Blue Pencil* each month, five free admissions to each monthly meeting and two free admissions to one special event per year, such as the Christmas dinner. The usual member rates on professional development courses and workshops will apply for up to five attendees from the corporate associate organisation (a saving of approximately \$130 per person).

The Committee, Society of Editors (NSW) Inc.

continued from page 3
suggestions, in writing:

The 'writing down' is important. I will try not to talk about it. I will think about it in silence, and if I think the editor is right, I will make a change—in silence.

Poet Adam Zrodowski spoke of his editor identifying 'places that may need some rewriting', but also valued his editor's 'suggestions that helped me get rid of poems that could have made my book a bit repetitious, and choose some of the new poems to be included'. The framework for editing a collection of poetry is something outside my own experience, but it seems, from this poet's observations, that there is scope both for identifying issues and for making substantive suggestions, just as there is in editing prose fiction.

A. J. Betts's responses perhaps show best that editors often walk a tightrope in handling authors' expectations. 'I don't expect the editor to solve specific areas that don't work for me', she said, 'just highlight them so I can solve them myself', but also noted that when 'really desperate', she did wish her editor would give specific suggestions on how a problem might be fixed.

Putting on my author's hat for a moment, I am happy enough for an editor to make suggestions, as it may help me to better understand the issue that has been identified, the why of it, the possible implications, and this often leads me to find my own solution.

And now switching hats: as an editor, I am not sure I have always got the balance right on this matter, but a strategy I have used instinctively in the past is to keep a note of possible 'fixes' or approaches that might occur to me, in the event that the author does ask for specific suggestions, but first wait and see how they respond to the issues raised. In the case of rewording, I always preface any suggestion 'with something like this?' (the question mark is important) or 'here's an idea of what I mean, although I'm sure you'll come up with something better'.

So in essence, this too is another communication matter, part of learning the author: in the first instance, subtly teasing out what is the best approach to take, and then maintaining a connection throughout the process, alert to when an adjustment in approach might be needed.

Reminder: Do not assume the author wants specific suggestions about how to 'fix' an identified problem.

I detected among responses little

disagreement on whether editors should hold back on delivering praise as well as criticism. Here are two comments:

I do find it reassuring to have some sense of what is good in the manuscript, where the strength lies in the bones I've laid out. I'm of course not talking here about vague, ego-boosting praise, but specific praise for elements of the manuscript which are strong, which are working.

—Meg McKinlay

It would be great occasionally if editors didn't solely focus on faults and structural flaws, and just jotted something like 'this part works beautifully' or 'I loved this exchange'. If you feel moved by something positive, TELL the author. It's a real boost.

—Cate Kennedy

I know I sometimes forget to do this often enough when I am editing, and I have resolved to do it more, because I know how helpful—and gratifying—it can be to see those little ticks along the way from an editor whose judgment you trust.

Cate Kennedy's plea is for a subjective, emotional response to the manuscript as well as an incisive analysis of its elements. Ted Thompson, however, found himself appreciating his editor's highly objective approach:

There are no qualitative assessments (nothing about likes and dislikes). It's all practical, focused, and based in the text. This, to an obsessive self-critic, is an enormous relief.

He did add, however, that 'every writer wants some impossible mix of enthusiasm and reassurance'.

Adam Zrodowski echoed this when he said:

It is easy, especially when you write poetry (which generally does not have a large following), to lose faith and stop believing that what you do is important and you should devote a lot of energy and effort to it. I really need that reassurance as an author.

When Denise Deegan was unsure about a risk she was taking in one manuscript, it was her editor's expression of confidence that gave her the confidence to make that risk pay off.

A. J. Betts observed that 'too much negativity overpowers the positives', while Caroline Hamilton listed as one of the essentials in an editor 'honesty—but not brutal'. Josephine Rowe made a sensible, practical point about terminology: that 'sending a writer

corrections of their manuscript is a terrible way to begin a conversation'.

Reading this comment immediately gave me a shiver: have I ever inadvertently made this mistake? When copyediting, we do make corrections—for consistency, for example, or in the service of house style. But even in copyediting it is a word that has the unhelpful effect of implying a hierarchy. In the structural editing of fiction, it has no place at all.

Striking the right balance between praise and criticism, positives and negatives, is another aspect of the author-editor relationship that comes from learning the author. Authors do not want shallow, empty compliments, but most will appreciate being told when you—as an engaged reader—feel that thrill of knowing you are in safe hands, when something is really working, when you are moved to tears, laughter, anger, despair.

Reminders: Do not assume your experienced, multi-awarded author is secure enough not to need a balance of praise and criticism. Take care with terminology: words like comments, observations and responses (structural editing) and amendments (copyediting) are preferable to corrections. Preparation and engagement with the work rank highly in authors' expectations of their editors.

Robyn Mundy hopes for a relationship of trust that will grow from discussion rather than dictation, and play out as guidance from a mentor. I expect the editor to be intimately engaged in my manuscript, that they 'get' the nuance of what I am striving to convey. I expect that they can substantiate suggestions for change and genuinely consider my responses to those suggestions.

A. J. Betts appreciates the editor 'doing multiple readings and being very prepared prior to meeting with me', while Liz Byrski praised her editor's immersion in the manuscript, saying: 'she seems to know the story and the characters as well as, or even better than, I do'.

Meg McKinlay dislikes formulaic queries that demonstrate a lack of ear for the voice of the writing itself...where an editor might, for example, annotate a line with the comment 'Repetition. Re-word?' when the repetition is clearly intentional in the context, for patterning or contrast with other elements. Or she might query a poetic use of language—'Usage is not

continued on page 6

Professional development

Decoding XML: a practical guide for editors

Date: Friday 13 September 2013

Time: 9.30 a.m. to 4.30 p.m.

Presenter: Dr Linda Nix

Venue: UTS Short Courses Venue, Level 7, UTS Bld 10, 235 Jones Street, Sydney

Cost: \$220 for society members, \$350 for non-members (includes lunch, and morning and afternoon teas)

Please let our Administration manager, Anna Rauls, know if you are interested in attending—membership@editorsnsw.com.

What is XML? Isn't markup for typesetters, not editors? Do editors really need to learn code?

This workshop aims to help editors understand current and emerging publishing systems and equip them with the skills and confidence to collaborate with IT professionals implementing XML publishing systems, in the same way that they have learned how to communicate with printers and designers. It covers core concepts of XML; the principal XML schemas used in publishing; how, where and why XML is used in editorial workflows; and editing in, and for, XML.

Participants will learn which XML schemas are relevant to their area(s) of expertise; how to apply their editing skills in XML workflows; and basic XML coding skills. This introductory workshop is suitable for inhouse editors transitioning to XML systems and freelance editors delivering Word files for multi-format production.

Dr Linda Nix is a professional editor with 20 years of industry experience in both print and digital formats. She has worked with markup languages since 1997, with hands-on experience in SGML, HTML and XML, ONIX book metadata, websites and website content, and EPUB in trade fiction and non-fiction.

Grammar Essentials

Date: Friday 18 October 2013

Time: 9.30 a.m. to 4.30 p.m.

Presenter: Pam Peters, DE, Emeritus Professor of Linguistics, Macquarie University

Venue: UTS Short Courses Venue, Level 7, UTS Bld 10, 235 Jones Street, Sydney

Cost: \$220 for society members, \$350 for non-members (includes lunch, and morning and afternoon teas)

Please let our Administration manager, Anna Rauls, know if you are interested in attending—membership@editorsnsw.com.

Editors often feel that they need more grammar, but how much is enough? The major grammars of contemporary English consist of more than 1,000 pages, with vast networks of grammatical terms. Editors probably do not need to know all of them—unless they are aiming for a career change. What they do need for the purposes of professional editing is enough grammar to:

- Make the most of dictionaries, style manuals and other language references.
- Understand and explain the variable points of current English usage.
- Capitalise on language resources for cohesive writing.
- Enlarge their repertoire for managing stylistic change.

Let us bridge the grammatical gaps and find grammatical resources for enhancing all aspects of writing and editing. The workshop is designed to be interactive and to allow for discussion of the ins and outs of usage as they arise.

Pam Peters directed Macquarie University's postgraduate program in editing and publishing for 18 years, and continues as consultant to its revised course in editing and electronic publishing. She wrote the Cambridge Guide to Australian English Usage (2007) and the forthcoming Cambridge Dictionary of English Grammar.

Workshop information

Registration

To register for regular workshops download and print out a form the society's website and send it to the Administration manager, Anna Rauls. Please note that workshops require a minimum of 10 registrations by the closing date to proceed. The society reserves the right to cancel workshops if there are insufficient enrolments.

Payment for workshops

To secure a place you must send payment with your registration form. Workshops fill quickly and we often have people on a waitlist for courses. Please contact the Administration manager at membership@editorsnsw.com if you need a tax invoice.

Regional members

Regional members living more than 200 km from Sydney may receive a 40 per cent discount on the cost of the society's regular workshops (excluding computer-based workshops).

NEW MEMBERS

Virginia Ginnane
Cathy Hammer
Donna Hayward
Yani Silvana
Aida Helena
Reyes Cruz
Jillian Gillies
Sue Windybank
Susan Murray-Smith
Michele Croucher
Nichole Baxter

continued from page 4

grammatical. Please revise'...As a poet who's turned to writing for children...I would tend to privilege things like rhythm over rules and if an infinitive or two is split in the process, so be it.

Meg McKinlay went on to say that whenever she comes across an indication that the editor has not thoroughly engaged with the manuscript, it can have the effect of 'undermining my faith in the validity of her reading in general, and that has a flow-on effect into how the process/relationship unfolds'. So the success or otherwise of the author–editor relationship can hinge on this issue of preparation and engagement, which, in essence, is a measure of the editor's professionalism, instincts and skill.

Reminders: Be well prepared. Be very familiar with the elements of the work, and come to grips with what the author is trying to achieve. Substantiate suggestions for change. Avoid perfunctory queries.

I am going to close with a few comments from respondents on practical matters of communication. Josephine Rowe spoke about the deficiencies of email and Track Changes:

I've found my favourite editors are those who will pick up the phone when there's a particularly tricky aspect that needs ironing out. Written communication doesn't allow for the same fluidity of ideas and narrative possibilities as a verbal conversation. A phone call can be much more effective than batting something back and forth, and is generally less time-consuming.

This comment really struck a chord with me, as I have often thought how exhausting email 'discussion' can be. It does, of course, have the virtue of easy, traceable documentation of decisions made. With phone and face-to-face communications, we still need to make a note of the date and the outcome of

discussions, to keep on file.

A. J. Betts noted a preference for email communications, in the context of wanting her editor to be 'easy to access'.

Liz Byrski appreciates 'notes and mark-ups that are really neat and easy to read', clarity about deadlines for revisions, and gentle checks on how she is progressing.

Caroline Hamilton also mentioned deadlines, and the need for flexibility:

Sometimes schedules do get thrown out of the window. I really think it's important that the editor knows when to crack the whip and when to leave well alone.

And this from Meg McKinlay:

I'm happy to work quickly if necessary, as long as I know in advance and can adjust my schedule accordingly. Just as I don't expect that I'm the only author an editor is currently working with, so I'd like editors to remember that I'm probably doing many other things as well.

A final comment from Caroline Hamilton reminds us what is at stake when we are talking about communication:

Above all, [my editor] listened to me. I mean really listened. And as a result, I listened to her.

Reminders: Be flexible. Be clear. Be a good listener.

Before embarking on my brief, informal survey, my view from the borderlands was that editors generally seem to be serving their authors well, and are getting better at doing so all the time. The introduction of professional standards (*Australian Standards for Editing Practice*), IPEd's accreditation scheme, increasing opportunities for professional development through national conferences and society training sessions—all have made their mark. Studying the comments offered by my writer friends has not shaken this view, but it reminds me that all the things we

are doing right can still be done better, and it highlights, for me, the centrality of communication in all we do. It is my hope that bringing authors' voices into the conversation will contribute to the further development of the editor–author relationship, that it will help editors to get the best from the authors they work with.

Freelancers' lunch

Join this monthly gathering for lively conversation and networking opportunities. We meet at Café Delizia, located at street level in the Hyde Park Towers Building, 148 Elizabeth Street, in the CBD between Liverpool and Goulburn Streets (Surry Hills side of the road). Meet at **noon** or thereabouts. Put it in your calendar. There's no need to book or rsvp—just come. Some come early, some later. We are generally there from **noon until 2.00pm**.

Our next gathering will be held on

• **Thursday 19 September**

We deliberately vary the days and dates to accommodate the varying schedules of our freelancers, and the venue is centrally located and easy to reach via public transport. This little café has a cosy and quiet back room with floor-to-ceiling books along three and a half walls (they had to leave an opening as a door). The menu is limited, but the food is good and inexpensive. We welcome suggestions for other venues, if anyone wants a change of scenery.



Follow us online: Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn
(search for us using our full name:
The Society of Editors (NSW) Inc.)

www.editorsnsw.com
www.facebook.com/SocietyofEditorsNSW
www.twitter.com/SocEdNSW

2013 COMMITTEE

President: Jacqui Smith

Email: president@editorsnsw.com

Vice presidents:

Pam Peters

Email: vp1@editorsnsw.com

Rochelle Fernandez

Email: vp2@editorsnsw.com

Secretary: Shelley Reid

Email: secretary@editorsnsw.com

Treasurer: Ian Close

Email: treasurer@editorsnsw.com

General committee members:

Owen Kavanagh

Email: committee1@editorsnsw.com

Agata Mrva-Montoya

Email: committee2@editorsnsw.com

Laura Daniel

Email: committee3@editorsnsw.com

Mangai Pitchai

Email: committee4@editorsnsw.com

Susie Pilkington

Email: events@editorsnsw.com

Newsletter editor: Rochelle Fernandez

Email: bluepencil@editorsnsw.com

Publicity officer: Susie Pilkington

Email: publicity@editorsnsw.com

Meetings coordinator:

Rochelle Fernandez

Email: meetings@editorsnsw.com

Professional development coordinator:

Agata Mrva-Montoya

Email: committee2@editorsnsw.com

Website coordinator:

Abigail Nathan

Email: web@editorsnsw.com

Editorial Services Directory

Email: membership@editorsnsw.com

Administration manager: Anna Rauls

Email: membership@editorsnsw.com

Events manager: Susie Pilkington

Email: events@editorsnsw.com

IPed councillor (NSW):

Owen Kavanagh

Email: iped@editorsnsw.com

Accreditation Board representative (NSW):

Alison Moodie

Email: accreditation@editorsnsw.com

Society of Editors (NSW) Inc.

PO Box 254, Broadway NSW 2007

Voicemail: (02) 9294 4999

www.editorsnsw.com

(c) 2013 The Society of Editors (NSW) Inc.

Blue Pencil

Editor: Rochelle Fernandez

Assistants: Jacqui Smith, Robin Appleton, Agata Mrva-Montoya and Owen Kavanagh
The *Blue Pencil* is available only in digital format (PDF). If you require a printed version, please contact the Administration manager at membership@editorsnsw.com

Published: 11 issues a year (combined January/February issue)

Your comments and contributions are welcome. Mail them to the Editor, *Blue Pencil*, Society of Editors (NSW) Inc., PO Box 254, Broadway NSW 2007, or email the Editor at bluepencil@editorsnsw.com.

Copy deadline for the September 2013 issue is Thursday, 19 September 2013

The views expressed in the articles and letters, or the material contained in any advertisement or attachment, are those of individual authors, not of the Society of Editors (NSW) Inc.

Advertising rates

Full page \$375; half page \$200; one-third page \$125; quarter page \$100; one-sixth page \$75 (half of one column). Circulation: approximately 400. Please note that the committee reserves the right to decide whether advertisements are appropriate for this newsletter.

Membership

Membership of the Society of Editors (NSW) Inc. is open to anyone working as an editor for publication (print or electronic documents) and anyone who supports the society's aims. Membership is available in different categories.

Membership runs for a calendar year. The 2013 fees are \$85 for professional members (new or renewal) and \$65 for associate members (new or renewal). Interested organisations can become corporate associates for \$400 per year.

To obtain a membership application form visit the Society of Editors (NSW) Inc. website—www.editorsnsw.com, phone (02) 9294 4999 or write to PO Box 254, Broadway NSW 2007.

Listing in the Editorial Services Directory

The *Editorial Services Directory* is available online at www.editorsnsw.com/esd.

New listings and updates can be added quarterly as follows:

- January (deadline 31 December)
- April (deadline 31 March)
- July (deadline 30 June)
- October (deadline 30 September).

The cost is \$40 per year in addition to the fee for membership of the society. Only professional members are eligible for a listing. New listings should be submitted using a template available from the Administration manager at membership@editorsnsw.com.

Committee meetings

All members are welcome to attend the society's committee meetings, generally held on the second Tuesday of each month. Please contact a committee member for details if you wish to attend the next meeting.